

# STRATFORD MINING COMPLEX

**Monthly Compliance Noise Monitoring  
November 2020**

**Prepared for:**

Stratford Coal Pty Ltd  
PO Box 168  
Gloucester NSW 2442

SLR Ref: 630.11771-R36  
Version No: -v1.0  
January 2021

**SLR** 

## PREPARED BY

SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd  
ABN 29 001 584 612  
10 Kings Road  
New Lambton NSW 2305 Australia  
(PO Box 447 New Lambton NSW 2305)  
T: +61 2 4037 3200  
E: newcastleau@slrconsulting.com www.slrconsulting.com

## BASIS OF REPORT

This report has been prepared by SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd (SLR) with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, and taking account of the timescale and resources allocated to it by agreement with Stratford Coal Pty Ltd (the Client). Information reported herein is based on the interpretation of data collected, which has been accepted in good faith as being accurate and valid.

This report is for the exclusive use of the Client. No warranties or guarantees are expressed or should be inferred by any third parties. This report may not be relied upon by other parties without written consent from SLR.

SLR disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.

## DOCUMENT CONTROL

Reference	Date	Prepared	Checked	Authorised
630.11771-R36-v1.0	15 January 2021	Shannon Harvey	Martin Davenport	Martin Davenport

## CONTENTS

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>SMC NOISE CRITERIA .....</b>	<b>6</b>
2.1	Project Approval Schedule 3 Environmental Performance Conditions .....	6
2.2	Project Approval Appendix 6: Noise Compliance Assessment.....	8
2.3	EPL Noise Limits – SMC Operations .....	9
2.4	Noise Limits at the Nominated Attended Noise Monitoring Locations.....	9
2.5	Rail Noise Goals .....	9
<b>3</b>	<b>OPERATIONAL NOISE MONITORING METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	NMP Monitoring Requirements .....	10
3.2	General Requirements.....	10
3.3	Operator-attended Noise Monitoring Locations .....	10
3.4	Extrapolation Methodology for Intermediate Monitoring Locations.....	11
3.5	Compliance Assessment Protocol.....	13
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS .....</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1	Operator-attended Noise Monitoring – SMC Operational Activity .....	13
4.1.1	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results – ‘Atkins’ .....	15
4.1.2	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - ‘Clarke’ and ‘Wadland’ .....	16
4.1.3	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - ‘Hall’ .....	19
4.1.4	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - ‘Lowrey’ .....	20
4.1.5	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - ‘Pryce-Jones’ .....	21
4.1.6	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results – ‘Van der Drift’ .....	22
4.1.7	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results – ‘Greenwood’ .....	23
4.2	RTNM Verification Monitoring .....	24
<b>5</b>	<b>PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>SLEEP DISTURBANCE.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSION.....</b>	<b>27</b>

## DOCUMENT REFERENCES

### TABLES

Table 1	Noise Limits for the Nominated Noise Monitoring Locations.....	9
Table 2	ARTC EPL 3142 Noise Objectives.....	9
Table 3	SMC Operational Noise Monitoring Locations.....	11
Table 4	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - ‘Atkins’ .....	15

---

## CONTENTS

Table 5	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - 'Clarke' and 'Wadland' .....	16
Table 6	Predicted Noise Levels - Bagnall.....	18
Table 7	Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - 'Hall' .....	19
Table 8	Attended Noise Survey Results - 'Lowrey' .....	20
Table 9	Attended Noise Survey Results – 'Pryce Jones'.....	21
Table 10	Attended Noise Survey Results – 'Van der Drift'.....	22
Table 11	Attended Noise Survey Results – 'Greenwood' .....	23
Table 12	Attended Noise Survey Results – Real Time Noise Monitoring Locations.....	24
Table 13	Performance Assessment – Operations.....	25
Table 14	Performance Assessment – Sleep Disturbance.....	26

## FIGURES

Figure 1	Stratford Mining Complex Attended Noise Monitoring Locations .....	12
----------	--	----

## APPENDICES

Appendix A	Acoustic Terminology
Appendix B	Operator Attended Noise Survey Charts

# 1 Introduction

*Stratford Coal Pty Limited* (SCPL), a wholly owned subsidiary of Yancoal Australia Limited (Yancoal), has commissioned SLR Consulting Australia Pty Ltd (SLR) to conduct monthly noise monitoring for the Stratford Mining Complex (SMC) operations guided by the requirements of the *Stratford Mining Complex (Stratford Extension Project) Noise Management Plan* (NMP), Document No. NMP-R03-A, dated 17 June 2019. This report presents the results and findings from the operator-attended noise surveys conducted on Wednesday 25 November 2020 and concluded Thursday 26 November 2020.

It is understood that the SMC collectively comprises the Bowens Road North Open Cut (BRNOC), Avon North Open Cut, Stratford East Open Cut, Roseville West Open Cut and the associated coal processing and handling facilities. Run-of-mine (ROM) coal from the SCM is processed then loaded and railed on the North Coast Railway to the port of Newcastle.

The objectives of the noise monitoring programme for this operating period were as follows:

- Conduct three rounds of external operator-attended noise measurements at the eight nominated locations, representative of receivers in the area surrounding the SMC. The eight nominated external operator-attended noise measurement locations are:
  - Atkins – Off Wenhams Cox Road, Stratford
  - Clarke – Off Wenhams Cox Road, Stratford
  - Wadland – Off Wenhams Cox Road, Stratford
  - Hall – Upper Avon Road
  - Lowrey – Off Crowthers Road, Stratford
  - Pryce Jones – The Bucketts Way, Craven
  - Van der Drift – Wood Street, Stratford
  - Greenwood – Off Glen Road, Craven

Noise monitoring will occur for a day, evening and night period. The day, evening and night periods being those defined in the NSW *Industrial Noise Policy* (EPA 2000).

- The operator will quantify and characterise the maximum ( $L_{Amax}$ ) and the intrusive ( $L_{Aeq}$  and  $L_{Ceq}$ ) noise level contributions from SMC operations over a 15 minute measurement period. In addition, the operator will quantify and characterise the overall levels of ambient noise (i.e.  $L_{Amax}$ ,  $LA1$ ,  $LA10$ ,  $LA50$ ,  $LA90$ , and  $L_{Aeq}$ ) over the 15 minute measurement interval.
- Assess the noise emissions of SMC and determine compliance with respect to the limits contained in the NMP.

In addition to monthly noise monitoring at the nominated residential receivers, the NMP requires quarterly noise monitoring of rail activity and verification monitoring of the Real Time Noise Monitor (RTNM) network.

The following report uses specialist acoustic terminology. An explanation of common terms is provided in **Appendix A**.

## 2 SMC Noise Criteria

The figures presented in this Section are extracts from the *Stratford Extension Project (SSD-4966) Development Consent* dated 29 May 2015.

### 2.1 Project Approval Schedule 3 Environmental Performance Conditions

#### ACQUISITION UPON REQUEST

1. Upon receiving a written request for acquisition from an owner of the land listed in Table 1, the Applicant shall acquire the land in accordance with the procedures in conditions 5-6 of Schedule 4.

*Table 1: Land subject to acquisition upon request*

Property ID	
40/51/Cr1 – L. Blanch	42 – D. Blanch
Cr7 – Pryce-Jones	Cr 2 – Boorer

*Note: To interpret the location referred to in Table 1 see the applicable figure in Appendix 5.*

However, the obligation to acquire a property does not apply if the Applicant has a negotiated agreement with the owner/s of the relevant land that sets aside acquisition under the terms of this consent, and the Applicant has advised the Department in writing of the terms of this agreement.

#### ADDITIONAL MITIGATION UPON REQUEST

2. Upon receiving a written request from the owner of any residence on the land listed in Tables 1 and 2, the Applicant shall implement additional noise mitigation measures (such as double glazing, insulation, and/or air conditioning) at the residence in consultation with the owner. These measures must be reasonable and feasible and directed towards reducing the noise impacts of the development on the residence.

If within 3 months of receiving this request from the owner, the Applicant and the owner cannot agree on the measures to be implemented, or there is a dispute about the implementation of these measures, then either party may refer the matter to the Secretary for resolution.

*Table 2: Land subject to additional noise mitigation upon request*

Property ID	Property ID
31(1) – Isaac	60 – Healy / Greenwood
44 – Cross / Jane	36 – Wallace
37 – Worth	29 – Ward
15(3) – Falla	

*Note: To interpret the locations referred to in Table 2 see the applicable figure in Appendix 5.*

However, the obligation to implement noise mitigation measures does not apply if the Applicant has a negotiated agreement with the owner/s of the relevant residence or land that sets aside noise mitigation measures under the terms of this consent, and the Applicant has advised the Department in writing of the terms of this agreement.

## NOISE

### Hours of Operation

3. The Applicant shall comply with the operating hours in Table 3.

Table 3: Operating hours

Activity	Operating Hours
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open cut mining operations in the Bowens Road North and Roseville West Extension pits</li> <li>Recovery and transport of CHPP rejects for re-processing</li> <li>Construction of the noise mitigation bunds on the western side of the Avon North, Roseville West Extension and Stratford East pits</li> </ul>	7 am to 6 pm, 7 days per week
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Open cut mining operations in the Avon North and Stratford East pits</li> <li>Coal processing, loading and dispatch of product coal trains</li> </ul>	24 hours a day, 7 days per week
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Maintenance activities</li> </ul>	week

### Noise Criteria

4. The Applicant shall ensure that the noise generated by the development does not exceed the criteria in Table 4 at any residence on privately-owned land.

Table 4: Noise criteria dB(A)

Land	Day $L_{Aeq}(15 \text{ min})$	Evening $L_{Aeq}(15 \text{ min})$	Night $L_{Aeq}(15 \text{ min})$	Night $L_{A1}(1 \text{ min})$
40/51/Cr1 – L. Blanch	43	43	43	50
Cr7 – Pryce-Jones	43	43	43	49
42 – D. Blanch	42	42	42	50
Cr 2 – Boorer	41	41	41	49
31(1) – Isaac	40	40	40	48
36 – Wallace	39	39	39	47
44 – Cross / Jane				
60 – Healy / Greenwood	39	39	39	45
37 – Worth	38	38	38	46
29 – Ward	38	38	37	45
23 – Bagnall	37	37	37	45
31(2) – Isaac				
296 – Watson				
297 – Bosma				
298 – Yates	36	36	36	45
15(3) – Falla	39	35	35	45
15(2) – Falla	36	35	35	45
Stratford Village	37	36	35	45
All other privately-owned residences	35	35	35	45

- To interpret the locations referred to in Table 4 see the applicable figure(s) in Appendix 5.
- Stratford village is shown on the figure(s) in Appendix 5.

Noise generated by the development is to be measured in accordance with the relevant requirements of the *NSW Industrial Noise Policy*. Appendix 6 sets out the meteorological conditions under which these criteria apply and the requirements for evaluating compliance with these criteria.

However, these criteria do not apply if the Applicant has a negotiated agreement with the owner/s of the relevant residence or land to generate higher noise levels, and the Applicant has advised the Department in writing of the terms of this agreement.

## 2.2 Project Approval Appendix 6: Noise Compliance Assessment

### Applicable Meteorological Conditions

1. The noise criteria in Table 4 in Schedule 3 are to apply to a receiver under all meteorological conditions except under:
  - (a) wind speeds greater than 3 m/s at 10 m above ground level; or
  - (b) temperature inversion conditions between 1.5°C and 3°C/100 m and wind speed greater than 2 m/s at 10 m above ground level; or
  - (c) temperature inversion conditions greater than 3°C/100 m.

### Determination of Meteorological Conditions

2. Except for wind speed at microphone height, the data to be used for determining meteorological conditions shall be that recorded by the meteorological station required under condition 25 of Schedule 3.

### Compliance Monitoring

3. Attended monitoring is to be used to evaluate compliance with the relevant conditions of this consent.
4. This monitoring must be carried out at least 12 times in each calendar year (ie at least once in every calendar month), unless the Secretary directs otherwise.
5. Unless the Secretary agrees otherwise, this monitoring is to be carried out in accordance with the relevant requirements for reviewing performance set out in the *NSW Industrial Noise Policy* (as amended from time to time), in particular the requirements relating to:
  - (a) monitoring locations for the collection of representative noise data;
  - (b) equipment used to collect noise data, and conformity with Australian Standards relevant to such equipment;
  - (c) modifications to noise data collected, including for the exclusion of extraneous noise and/or penalties for modifying factors apart from adjustments for duration; and
  - (d) the use of an appropriate modifying factor for low frequency noise to be applied during compliance testing at any individual residence if low frequency noise is present (in accordance with the INP) and before comparison with the specified noise levels in the consent.

The implementation and transitional arrangements for the NSW Noise Policy for Industry (NPfI) notes the following:

*The NSW Industrial Noise Policy (2000) will continue to apply where it is referenced in existing statutory instruments (such as consents and licences), except for the NSW Industrial Noise Policy Section 4 modifying factors, which will be transitioned to the Noise Policy for Industry (2017) Fact Sheet C through a NSW Industrial Noise Policy application note. This approach has been taken because the Noise Policy for Industry (2017) modification factor approach reflects more recent understanding of the impact of tonal and low-frequency noise on the community.*



As such appropriate modifying factors such as low frequency noise have been assessed against NPfI requirements.

## 2.3 EPL Noise Limits – SMC Operations

The noise limits specified in EPL 5161 are consistent with the noise criteria specified in SSD-4966.

## 2.4 Noise Limits at the Nominated Attended Noise Monitoring Locations

The site-specific noise limits for the eight nominated attended noise monitoring locations are summarised in **Table 1**.

**Table 1 Noise Limits for the Nominated Noise Monitoring Locations**

Locality	Intrusiveness Criteria LAeq(15minute)			Night LA1(1minute) Criterion
	Day	Evening	Night	Night
Atkins <sup>1</sup>	35	35	35	45
Clarke <sup>1,2</sup>	37	37	37	45
Wadland <sup>1,2</sup>	37	37	37	45
Hall	35	35	35	45
Lowrey	35	35	35	45
Pryce Jones <sup>3</sup>	43	43	43	49
Van der Drift	37	36	35	45
Greenwood	35	35	35	45

Note 1: Owned by Stratford Coal Pty Ltd

Note 2: Criteria adopted from Bagnall as a guide only and are not definitive at this location.

Note 3: Land subject to acquisition upon request.

## 2.5 Rail Noise Goals

The NMP has adopted ARTC's EPL 3142 noise goals as criteria for the assessment of SMC rail transport noise. The noise objectives specified in ARTC's EPL 3142 apply at 1 m from the façade of affected residential properties and are provided in **Table 2**.

**Table 2 ARTC EPL 3142 Noise Objectives**

Descriptor	Rail Traffic Goal dBA
Daytime/Evening LAeq(15hour)	65
Night-time LAeq(9hour)	60
Maximum Pass-by L <sub>max</sub>	85

## 3 Operational Noise Monitoring Methodology

### 3.1 NMP Monitoring Requirements

Noise monitoring will occur for a day, evening and night period. The day, evening and night periods being those defined in the NSW *Industrial Noise Policy* (EPA 2000).

- The operator will quantify and characterise the maximum ( $L_{Amax}$ ) and the intrusive ( $L_{Aeq}$  and  $L_{Ceq}$ ) noise level contributions from SMC operations over a 15 minute measurement period. In addition, the operator will quantify and characterise the overall levels of ambient noise (i.e.  $L_{Amax}$ ,  $LA_1$ ,  $LA_{10}$ ,  $LA_{50}$ ,  $LA_{90}$ , and  $L_{Aeq}$ ) over the 15 minute measurement interval.
- Assess the noise emissions of SMC and determine compliance with respect to the limits contained in the NMP.

Relevant modifying factors are assessed by analysis of the measured SMC  $L_{Aeq}$  spectrum where applicable.

### 3.2 General Requirements

All acoustic instrumentation employed throughout the monitoring programme has been designed to comply with the requirements of AS IEC 61672.1 – 2004 *Electroacoustics—Sound level meters – Specifications*, AS IEC 61672.2-2004, AS IEC 61672.3-2004 and carried current NATA or manufacturer calibration certificates. Instrument calibration was checked before and after each measurement survey, with the variation in calibrated levels not exceeding  $\pm 0.5$  dBA.

All operator-attended noise measurements were conducted using a one-third octave integrating Brüel & Kjær Type 2250L (s/n 3003389) and Brüel & Kjær Type 2270 (s/n 2697354) together with a Svantek SV30A acoustical calibrator (s/n 39462).

### 3.3 Operator-attended Noise Monitoring Locations

Noise monitoring was conducted in accordance with the requirements of the NMP.

Operator-attended noise measurements were conducted during the day, evening and night-time period for a minimum of 15 minutes per period at each of the eight NMP nominated residential noise monitoring locations. An additional noise monitoring location (Wadland) has been implemented to enable a robust acoustic relationship between the Clarke and Wadland monitoring locations and the Bagnall residence to the east. The details of the operator-attended SMC operational noise monitoring locations are contained within **Table 3** and shown generally in **Figure 1**. During the operator-attended noise measurements, the character and relative contribution of ambient noise sources and SMC contributions were determined by observations on site.

**Table 3 SMC Operational Noise Monitoring Locations**

Monitoring Location	Receiver Type	Resident / Owner	Monitoring Location - MGA Zone 56	
			Easting (m)	Northing (m)
Atkins	Mine owned Residence	SCPL	401544	6447134
Clarke	Mine owned Residence	SCPL	404406	6445783
Wadland	Intermediate Monitoring Location	SCPL	404739	6445272
Hall	Residence	Hall	398269	6443709
Lowrey	Residence	Lowrey	399193	6445879
Pryce Jones	Residence	Pryce Jones	400807	6441846
Van der Drift	Residence	SCPL	400171	6445775
Greenwood	Residence	Greenwood	402617	6440457

### 3.4 Extrapolation Methodology for Intermediate Monitoring Locations

The NPfl provides the most contemporary advice on compliance assessment techniques for industrial noise assessment. The NPfl provides a direct commentary on the use of 'alternative or intermediate' locations to determine compliance as follows:


***Direct measurement at alternative or intermediate location/s***

*Where direct measurement of noise at a compliance location is not practical because of poor signal-to-noise ratios (that is, extraneous noise is louder than the noise under investigation), or where access to the location has been denied or is unavailable, measurements at intermediate locations between the source and the receiver location, where signal-to-noise ratios are higher, may be a viable option. For this approach to be effective there needs to be well-established theoretical and/or empirical relationships between the intermediate location and the receiver location in terms of noise exposure. Noise modelling may be one option to establish this relationship. The techniques under the above section 'Direct measurement at a receiver location' would also be relevant in terms of quantifying the level of noise from the source at the intermediate location(s). Where this technique is relied upon, it is the responsibility of the proponent to demonstrate a robust acoustic relationship between the compliance location and the intermediate location.*

*Where this technique is relied upon, it is the responsibility of the proponent to demonstrate a robust acoustic relationship between the compliance location and the intermediate location.*

As such an ENM noise model of typical site operations is used to predict noise levels the Bagnall residence using an ENM model for all monitoring periods. The noise model is calibrated using the operator attended noise monitoring results (where available) and weather conditions at the time of the Clarke and Wadland noise monitoring.

Figure 1 Stratford Mining Complex Attended Noise Monitoring Locations



## 3.5 Compliance Assessment Protocol

In accordance with the NMP the results of noise monitoring at the compliance attended monitoring sites will be compared against the relevant noise criteria. The comparison will be undertaken following the exclusion of data where meteorological conditions are outside those provided in Appendix 6 of SSD-4966 and extraneous noise sources.

If SMC related noise contributions exceed the noise criteria under applicable meteorological conditions, then in accordance with the NMP:

- The exceedance will be reported to DP&E and EPA in accordance with Section 10 of the NMP;
- A second reading will be undertaken at the location within 75 minutes; and
- The exceedance will be reported in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report and Annual Review.

If the second reading is also confirmed to exceed the relevant criteria, then:

- The sustained exceedance will be deemed to be a noise incident;
- The noise incident will be reported to DP&E and EPA as a non-compliance in accordance with Section 10 of the NMP;
- Follow up monitoring will be conducted at the location within one week of the recorded non-compliance; and
- The non-compliance and the outcome of the follow-up monitoring will be reported in the Monthly Environmental Monitoring Report and Annual Review.

A confirmed noise incident (i.e. sustained exceedance and non-compliance) as determined by attended noise monitoring will be deemed to be a breach of the noise criteria.

## 4 Results

### 4.1 Operator-attended Noise Monitoring – SMC Operational Activity

Operator-attended noise measurements were conducted during a day, evening and night period on Wednesday 25 November 2020 and concluded Thursday 26 November 2020. Results of the operator-attended noise surveys at residential locations are provided in **Sections 4.1.1 to 4.1.7**.

A summary of the results for the attended noise monitoring are displayed graphically in **Appendix B** showing  $L_{Amax}$ ,  $L_{Aeq}$ , and  $L_{Aeq(<1.25kHz)}$  in 1-second intervals throughout the monitoring survey.

Ambient noise levels presented include all noise sources such as transport (roads, rail and aircraft), fauna (insects, frogs, birds, and bats), farm animals (cows, bulls), the natural environment (wind, wind in trees), domestic noises, other industrial operations as well as SMC noise emissions.

Weather data during the monitoring period has been obtained from the weather station located on the SMC site.

The tables provide the following information:

- Date and start time, operator and equipment details.
- Monitoring location.
- Wind velocity (m/s) and temperature (°C) at the measurement location.
- Typical maximum ( $L_{Amax}$ ) and contributed  $L_{Aeq(15minute)}$  noise levels.

#### 4.1.1 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results – ‘Atkins’

Results of the operator-attended noise surveys at ‘Atkins’ are provided in **Table 4**. Monitoring location ‘Atkins’ represents residential receptors located to the north of the site.

**Table 4 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - ‘Atkins’**

Period	Date/Start Time/ Weather	Primary Noise Descriptor dBA (15 minute)						Modifying Factors Applicable	Description of Noise Emissions and Typical Maximum Noise Levels (dBA)
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>A1</sub>	L <sub>A10</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub> (≤1.25kHz)		
Day	25/11/2020 17:41 25°C 5.3 m/s ENE	58	52	47	35	44	43	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Audible</b> Haul trucks and dozer 32-39 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 34 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Birds/insects 34-58 Wind 45-52
Evening	25/11/2020 21:25 17°C 2.5 m/s NNW	36	29	27	25	26	21	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Barely Audible</b> General mining operations up to 30 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution &lt;25 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Insects/frogs 26-28 Bird 30-31 Exhaust clicks 30-36
Night	25/11/2020 23:00 16°C 2.2 m/s N	51	36	26	23	26	23	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Barely Audible</b> General mining operations 25-31 Rock dump 30-32 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 25 dBA</b> <b>L<sub>Amax</sub> contribution 32 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Cows 44-51 Insects 19-21

Note 1: N/A = Not Applicable due to non-compliant weather conditions and/or SMC being inaudible or significantly below the noise criteria.

SMC operations were audible during the day and barely audible during the evening and night-time operator attended surveys at this location. SMC operations generated an L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) noise contribution of 34 dBA during the day, <25 dBA during the evening and 25 dBA during the night-time respectively. During the night-time period the operation of the pit generated L<sub>Amax</sub> noise levels of up to 32 dBA at the monitoring location.

#### 4.1.2 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - 'Clarke' and 'Wadland'

Results of the operator-attended noise surveys at 'Clarke' and Wadland are provided **Table 5**. These monitoring locations represent residential receptors located to the east of the site and are SMC owned properties. The monitoring results at Clarke and Wadland, under appropriate meteorological conditions, are used to determine SMC contributions at the 'Bagnall' residence located further to the east.

**Table 5 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - 'Clarke' and 'Wadland'**

Period	Date/Start Time/ Weather	Primary Noise Descriptor dBA (15 minute)						Modifying Factors Applicable	Description of Noise Emissions and Typical Maximum Noise Levels (dBA)
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>A1</sub>	L <sub>A10</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub> (≤1.25kHz)		
Day – Clarke	25/11/2020 17:00 26°C 6.6 m/s ENE	63	57	51	42	48	47	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Inaudible</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Wind 47-63 Insects/birds 38-58
Day - Wadland	25/11/2020 17:19 25°C 5.3 m/s E	61	57	54	44	51	49	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Inaudible</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Wind 47-61 Birds 40-60
Evening – Clarke	25/11/2020 19:36 20°C 4.4 m/s ENE	58	51	47	38	44	43	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Inaudible</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Wind 43-58 Insects 33-35 Birds 40-44
Evening - Wadland	25/11/2020 21:00 18°C 1.9 m/s NNE	52	49	47	43	45	32	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Barely audible</b> General pit operations <25 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution &lt;25 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Insects/frogs 45-51 Light wind 34-40 Aeroplane 34-40
Night - Clarke	25/11/2020 22:14 17°C 2.9 m/s N	52	49	46	38	43	42	No	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Audible</b> General pit operations 40-52 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 42 dBA</b> <b>L<sub>Amax</sub> contribution 52 dBA</b> Frogs/insects 26-31



Period	Date/Start Time/ Weather	Primary Noise Descriptor dBA (15 minute)						Modifying Factors Applicable	Description of Noise Emissions and Typical Maximum Noise Levels (dBA)
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>A1</sub>	L <sub>A10</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub> (≤1.25kHz)		
Night - Wadland	25/11/2020 22:35 15°C 2.2 m/s NNW	61	47	45	40	43	31	No	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Audible</b> Haul trucks 26-36 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 31 dBA</b> <b>L<sub>Amax</sub> contribution 36 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Frogs/insects 43-52 Animal 61

Note 1: N/A = Not Applicable due to non-compliant weather conditions and/or SMC being inaudible or significantly below the noise criteria.

Note 2: Noise levels recorded <25 are assumed to be 25 for the purpose of modelling.

At Clarke SMC operations were inaudible during the day and evening and audible during the night-time operator attended noise surveys. SMC operations generated an L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) noise contribution of 42 dBA during the night time. During the night-time period the operation of the pit generated L<sub>Amax</sub> noise levels of up to 52 dBA at Clarke.

At Wadland SMC operations were inaudible during the day, barely audible during the evening and audible during the night-time operator attended surveys. SMC operations generated an L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) noise contribution of <25 dBA during the evening and 31 dBA during the night-time. During the night-time period the operation of the pit generated L<sub>Amax</sub> noise levels of up to 36 dBA at Wadland.

Noise modelling has been conducted to the Bagnall residence using an ENM model for all monitoring periods where SMC noise was audible. The results of the noise modelling is provided in **Table 6**.

**Table 6 Predicted Noise Levels - Bagnall**

Period and Location	Meteorological Parameters	Noise Level at Monitoring Location	Predicted Noise Level at Bagnall	Propagation Attenuation – Monitoring Location to Bagnall (dB)
Evening – Wadland	Temp 18°C Humidity 74.9% Wind Speed 1.9 m/s Wind Direction 22.2° Lapse Rate -1.7°C/100m	<25 dBA LAeq(15minute)	<21 dBA LAeq(15minute)	-3.9
Night - Clarke	Temp 17°C Humidity 82.3% Wind Speed 2.9 m/s Wind Direction 351.6° Lapse Rate -0.2°C/100m	42 dBA LAeq(15minute)	29 dBA LAeq(15minute)	-12.9
		52 dBA LAmax	39 dBA LAmax	-12.9
Night – Wadland	Temp 15°C Humidity 86.1% Wind Speed 2.2 m/s Wind Direction 348.5° Lapse Rate -0.7°C/100m	32 dBA LAeq(15minute)	27 dBA LAeq(15minute)	-4.7
		36 dBA LAmax	31 dBA LAmax	-4.7

LAeq(15minute) noise levels are predicted to be up to 29 dBA over the noise monitoring period. The night-time LAmax noise level is predicted to be up to 39 dBA. As such SMC operations are considered to be compliant at the Bagnall location.

### 4.1.3 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - 'Hall'

Results of the operator-attended noise surveys at 'Hall' are provided in **Table 7**. Monitoring location 'Hall' represents residential receptors located to the southwest of the site.

**Table 7 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - 'Hall'**

Hall	Date/Start Time/ Weather	Primary Noise Descriptor dBA (15 minute)						Modifying Factors Applicable	Description of Noise Emissions and Typical Maximum Noise Levels (dBA)
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>A1</sub>	L <sub>A10</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub> (<1.25kHz)		
Day	26/11/2020 07:53 19°C 2.8 m/s NNE	82	70	53	34	58	55	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Inaudible</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Vehicle passby 50-82 Insects 32-35 Birds 36-63
Evening	25/11/2020 21:25 17°C 2.5 m/s NNW	55	51	48	39	45	37	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Barely audible</b> General mining operations up to 31 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 30 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Cows 37-51 Insects/frogs 38-42 Road traffic 41-55
Night	25/11/2020 23:30 15°C 2.6 m/s N	53	50	48	37	44	31	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Not Measurable</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Cows 40-53 Insects/frogs 34-38

SMC operations were inaudible during the day, barely audible during the evening and not measurable during the night-time operator-attended noise surveys at this location. SMC operations generated L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contributions of 30 dBA during the evening.

#### 4.1.4 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - 'Lowrey'

Results of the operator-attended noise surveys at 'Lowrey' are provided in **Table 8**. Monitoring location 'Lowrey' represents residential receptors located to the west of the site and west of Bucketts Way.

**Table 8** Attended Noise Survey Results - 'Lowrey'

Period	Date/Start Time/ Weather	Primary Noise Descriptor dBA (15 minute)						Modifying Factors Applicable	Description of Noise Emissions and Typical Maximum Noise Levels (dBA)
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>A1</sub>	L <sub>A10</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub> (≤1.25kHz)		
Day	26/11/2020 08:22 21°C 2.5 m/s NE	68	60	44	31	46	37	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Inaudible</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Road traffic 32-37 Birds 49-68 Residential 48-60
Evening	25/11/2020 21:45 16°C 2.9 m/s NNW	62	59	55	43	51	35	No	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Audible</b> Haul trucks 26-35 Dozer clunks 38-40 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 32 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Frogs/insects 52-62 Cows 46-48 Road traffic 36-40
Night	25/11/2020 23:27 15°C 2.6 m/s N	60	56	52	33	48	32	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Inaudible</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Frogs 52-60 Cows 32-46 Road traffic 30-34

SMC operations were inaudible during the day, audible during the evening and inaudible during the night-time operator attended noise surveys at this location. SMC operations generated L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contributions of 32 dBA during the evening.

#### 4.1.5 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results - 'Pryce-Jones'

Results of the operator-attended noise surveys at 'Pryce Jones' are provided in **Table 9**. Monitoring location 'Pryce Jones' represents residential receptors located in Craven to the south of the site.

**Table 9** Attended Noise Survey Results – 'Pryce Jones'

Pryce-Jones	Date/Start Time/ Weather	Primary Noise Descriptor dBA (15 minute)						Modifying Factors Applicable	Description of Noise Emissions and Typical Maximum Noise Levels (dBA)
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>A1</sub>	L <sub>A10</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub> (<1.25kHz)		
Day	25/11/2020 16:09 27°C 5.1 m/s E	71	67	61	36	56	55	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Not Measurable</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Road traffic 56-71 Birds/insects 35-50
Evening	25/11/2020 21:07 18°C 1.6 m/s NW	66	58	43	38	44	42	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Audible</b> Haul trucks 32-35 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 34 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Road traffic 52-66 Insects/frogs 34-39
Night	25/11/2020 23:09 15°C 2.6 m/s N	64	46	41	36	41	39	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Barely Audible</b> Mine hum 29-33 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 31 dBA</b> <b>L<sub>Amax</sub> contribution 33 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Dog barking 40-47 Road traffic 52-64 Frogs/insects 34-39

Note 1: N/A = Not Applicable due to non-compliant weather conditions and/or SMC being inaudible or significantly below the noise criteria.

SMC operations were not measurable during the day, audible during the evening and inaudible during the night-time operator attended noise surveys at this location. SMC operations generated L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contributions of 34 dBA during the evening and 31 dBA during the night-time. Night-time L<sub>Amax</sub> noise levels were 33 dBA.

#### 4.1.6 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results – ‘Van der Drift’

Results of the operator-attended noise surveys at ‘Van der Drift’ are provided in **Table 10**.

**Table 10 Attended Noise Survey Results – ‘Van der Drift’**

Van der Drift	Date/Start Time/ Weather	Primary Noise Descriptor dBA (15 minute)						Modifying Factors Applicable	Description of Noise Emissions and Typical Maximum Noise Levels (dBA)
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>A1</sub>	L <sub>A10</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub> (≤1.25kHz)		
Day	26/11/2020 07:27 17°C 3.6 m/s NNE	58	51	43	35	40	33	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Barely Audible</b> General pit operations 25-31 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 29 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Road traffic 34-44 Train 41-47 Road traffic 49-58 Birds 38-43
Evening	25/11/2020 21:45 16°C 2.9 m/s NNW	61	40	37	32	35	31	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Barely Audible</b> General pit operations 25-31 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 27 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Insects/frogs 28-33 Road traffic 50-61
Night	25/11/2020 23:53 16°C 2.3 m/s N	64	52	35	32	39	25	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Barely Audible</b> General mining operations 21-26 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 24 dBA</b> <b>L<sub>Amax</sub> contribution 26 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Air-conditioner unit 25-27 Insects 30-35 Road traffic 52-64

SMC operations were barely audible during the day, evening and night-time operator attended surveys at this location. SMC operations generated an  $L_{Aeq(15\text{minute})}$  contribution of 29 dBA during the day, 27 dBA during the evening and 24 dBA during the night. During the night-time period, the operation of the pit generated an  $L_{Amax}$  level of up to 26 dBA.

#### 4.1.7 Operator-attended Noise Survey Results – ‘Greenwood’

Results of the operator-attended noise surveys at ‘Greenwood’ are provided in **Table 11**.

**Table 11 Attended Noise Survey Results – ‘Greenwood’**

Greenwood	Date/Start Time/ Weather	Primary Noise Descriptor dBA (15 minute)						Modifying Factors Applicable	Description of Noise Emissions and Typical Maximum Noise Levels (dBA)
		$L_{Amax}$	LA1	LA10	LA90	$L_{Aeq}$	$L_{Aeq} (\leq 1.25\text{kHz})$		
Day	25/11/2020 15:02 28°C 1.6 m/s NNW	73	58	55	53	55	46	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Inaudible</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Wind 40-64 Birds/insects 52-54 Aeroplane 37-52 Vehicle passby 66-73
Evening	25/11/2020 20:50 18°C 1.9 m/s NNE	60	49	47	35	44	28	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Inaudible</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Insects/frogs 33-47 Animal noise 54-60
Night	25/11/2020 22:45 15°C 2.2 m/s NNW	47	53	41	34	38	25	N/A	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Barely Audible</b> General mining operations <25-27 <b><math>L_{Aeq(15\text{minute})}</math> contribution 25 dBA</b> <b><math>L_{Amax}</math> contribution 27 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Frogs/insects 34-39 Stick drop 47

SMC operations were inaudible during the day and evening and barely audible during the night-time operator attended surveys at this location. SMC operations generated an  $L_{Aeq(15\text{minute})}$  contribution of 25 dBA during the night. During the night-time period, the operation of the pit generated an  $L_{Amax}$  level of up to 27 dBA.

## 4.2 RTNM Verification Monitoring

Results of the operator-attended noise surveys at 'RTNM1' and 'RTNM2' are provided in **Table 12**.

**Table 12 Attended Noise Survey Results – Real Time Noise Monitoring Locations**

Location and Period	Date/Start Time/ Weather	Primary Noise Descriptor dBA (15 minute)						Description of Noise Emissions and Typical Maximum Noise Levels (dBA)
		L <sub>Amax</sub>	L <sub>A1</sub>	L <sub>A10</sub>	L <sub>A90</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub>	L <sub>Aeq</sub> (≤1.25kHz)	
RTNM1	25/11/2020 15:45 29°C 5.4 m/s E	64	46	43	37	41	36	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Audible</b> General mining operations 28-34 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 31 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Insects/birds 36-64 Wind 35-46
RTNM2	25/11/2020 16:34 27°C 5.7 m/s ENE	55	52	50	45	48	43	<i>Site related noise events:</i> <b>SMC: Audible</b> General mining operations 34-38 <b>L<sub>Aeq</sub>(15minute) contribution 36 dBA</b> <i>Other noise events:</i> Birds/insects 38-55 Wind 48-51



## 5 Performance Assessment

Results of the operator-attended noise measurements compared with the relevant noise criteria contained in the SMC Development Consent are given in **Table 13**.

**Table 13 Performance Assessment – Operations**

Location	Estimated SMC LAeq(15minute) Noise Level dBA <sup>1</sup>			Noise Criteria LAeq(15minute) dBA			Compliance		
	Day	Eve	Night	Day	Eve	Night	Day	Eve	Night
Atkins	34	<25	25	35	35	35	Yes	Yes	Yes
Clarke <sup>2</sup>	I/A <sup>1</sup>	I/A <sup>1</sup>	42	37	37	37	N/A <sup>5</sup>	N/A <sup>5</sup>	N/A <sup>5</sup>
Wadland <sup>2,5</sup>	I/A <sup>1</sup>	<25	31	37	37	37	N/A <sup>5</sup>	N/A <sup>5</sup>	N/A <sup>5</sup>
Bagnall	<21	29	27	37	37	37	Yes	Yes	Yes
Hall	I/A <sup>1</sup>	30	NM <sup>4</sup>	37	37	37	Yes	Yes	Yes
Lowrey	I/A <sup>1</sup>	32	I/A <sup>1</sup>	35	35	35	Yes	Yes	Yes
Pryce Jones	NM <sup>4</sup>	34	31	43	43	43	Yes	Yes	Yes
Van der Drift	29	27	24	37	36	35	Yes	Yes	Yes
Greenwood	I/A <sup>1</sup>	I/A <sup>1</sup>	24	35	35	35	Yes	Yes	Yes

Note 1: I/A = Inaudible.

Note 2: Owned by Stratford Coal Pty Ltd. Criteria adopted from Bagnall.

Note 3: Modelled result.

Note 4: Not Measurable

Note 5: Criteria adopted as a guide only.

Note 6: Not modelled. Compliance achieved at representative monitoring location therefore noise levels would comply at this receiver, or criteria not applicable due to non-compliant weather conditions.

Note 7: Criteria not applicable due to non-compliant weather conditions.

## 6 Sleep Disturbance

Results of the night period sleep disturbance measurements compared with the relevant noise criteria contained in the Development Consent are given in **Table 14**.

**Table 14 Performance Assessment – Sleep Disturbance**

Location	SMC LA1(1minute) Contribution	Noise Criteria LA1(1minute)	Compliance
Atkins	32	45	Yes
Clarke <sup>2</sup>	52	45	N/A <sup>4</sup>
Wadland <sup>2,4</sup>	36	45	N/A <sup>4</sup>
Bagnall <sup>3</sup>	39	45	Yes
Hall	NM <sup>5</sup>	45	Yes
Lowrey	I/A <sup>1</sup>	45	Yes
Pryce Jones	33	49	Yes
Van der Drift	26	45	Yes
Greenwood	27	45	Yes

Note 1: I/A = Inaudible.

Note 2: Owned by Stratford Coal Pty Ltd. Criteria adopted from Bagnall.

Note 3: Modelled result.

Note 4: Criteria adopted as a guide only.

Note 5: Criteria not applicable due to non-compliant weather conditions.

Note 5: Not Measurable

## 7 Conclusion

SLR was engaged by Stratford Coal Pty Limited to conduct monthly noise monitoring for the Stratford Mining Complex (SMC) operations guided by the requirements of the *Stratford Mining Complex Noise Management Plan* (NMP), Document No. NMP-R03-A, dated 17 June 2019.

Operator-attended noise monitoring was conducted at eight residential receiver locations and RTNM conducted at two locations. Monitoring commenced Wednesday 25 November 2020 in order to determine the noise performance of the SMC operations against the Development Consent conditions.

Based on the measured SMC noise contribution, compliance with the relevant operational noise criteria was achieved at all noise monitoring locations during the day, evening and night monitoring periods.

Based on the measured SMC noise contribution, compliance with the relevant sleep disturbance noise criteria was achieved at all privately owned noise monitoring locations during the night-time noise monitoring period under applicable weather conditions.

# APPENDIX A

## Acoustic Terminology

### 1. Sound Level or Noise Level

The terms ‘sound’ and ‘noise’ are almost interchangeable, except that ‘noise’ often refers to unwanted sound.

Sound (or noise) consists of minute fluctuations in atmospheric pressure. The human ear responds to changes in sound pressure over a very wide range with the loudest sound pressure to which the human ear can respond being ten million times greater than the softest. The decibel (abbreviated as dB) scale reduces this ratio to a more manageable size by the use of logarithms.

The symbols SPL, L or LP are commonly used to represent Sound Pressure Level. The symbol LA represents A-weighted Sound Pressure Level. The standard reference unit for Sound Pressure Levels expressed in decibels is  $2 \times 10^{-5}$  Pa.

### 2. ‘A’ Weighted Sound Pressure Level

The overall level of a sound is usually expressed in terms of dBA, which is measured using a sound level meter with an ‘A-weighting’ filter. This is an electronic filter having a frequency response corresponding approximately to that of human hearing.

People’s hearing is most sensitive to sounds at mid frequencies (500 Hz to 4,000 Hz), and less sensitive at lower and higher frequencies. Different sources having the same dBA level generally sound about equally loud.

A change of 1 dB or 2 dB in the level of a sound is difficult for most people to detect, whilst a 3 dB to 5 dB change corresponds to a small but noticeable change in loudness. A 10 dB change corresponds to an approximate doubling or halving in loudness. The table below lists examples of typical noise levels.

Sound Pressure Level (dBA)	Typical Source	Subjective Evaluation
130	Threshold of pain	Intolerable
120	Heavy rock concert	Extremely noisy
110	Grinding on steel	
100	Loud car horn at 3 m	Very noisy
90	Construction site with pneumatic hammering	Loud
80	Kerbside of busy street	
70	Loud radio or television	Moderate to quiet
60	Department store	
50	General Office	Quiet to very quiet
40	Inside private office	
30	Inside bedroom	Almost silent
20	Recording studio	

Other weightings (eg B, C and D) are less commonly used than A-weighting. Sound Levels measured without any weighting are referred to as ‘linear’, and the units are expressed as dB(lin) or dB.

### 3. Sound Power Level


The Sound Power of a source is the rate at which it emits acoustic energy. As with Sound Pressure Levels, Sound Power Levels are expressed in decibel units (dB or dBA), but may be identified by the symbols SWL or LW, or by the reference unit  $10^{-12}$  W.

The relationship between Sound Power and Sound Pressure is similar to the effect of an electric radiator, which is characterised by a power rating but has an effect on the surrounding environment that can be measured in terms of a different parameter, temperature.

### 4. Statistical Noise Levels

Sounds that vary in level over time, such as road traffic noise and most community noise, are commonly described in terms of the statistical exceedance levels LAN, where LAN is the A-weighted sound pressure level exceeded for N% of a given measurement period. For example, the LA1 is the noise level exceeded for 1% of the time, LA10 the noise exceeded for 10% of the time, and so on.

The following figure presents a hypothetical 15 minute noise survey, illustrating various common statistical indices of interest.



Of particular relevance, are:

- LA1 The noise level exceeded for 1% of the 15 minute interval.
- LA10 The noise level exceeded for 10% of the 15 minute interval. This is commonly referred to as the average maximum noise level.
- LA90 The noise level exceeded for 90% of the sample period. This noise level is described as the average minimum background sound level (in the absence of the source under consideration), or simply the background level.
- LAeq The A-weighted equivalent noise level (basically, the average noise level). It is defined as the steady sound level that contains the same amount of acoustical energy as the corresponding time-varying sound.

### 5. Frequency Analysis


Frequency analysis is the process used to examine the tones (or frequency components) which make up the overall noise or vibration signal.

The units for frequency are Hertz (Hz), which represent the number of cycles per second.

Frequency analysis can be in:

- Octave bands (where the centre frequency and width of each band is double the previous band)
- 1/3 octave bands (three bands in each octave band)
- Narrow band (where the spectrum is divided into 400 or more bands of equal width)

The following figure shows a 1/3 octave band frequency analysis where the noise is dominated by the 200 Hz band. Note that the indicated level of each individual band is less than the overall level, which is the logarithmic sum of the bands.



## 6. Annoying Noise (Special Audible Characteristics)


A louder noise will generally be more annoying to nearby receivers than a quieter one. However, noise is often also found to be more annoying and result in larger impacts where the following characteristics are apparent:

- **Tonality** - tonal noise contains one or more prominent tones (ie differences in distinct frequency components between adjoining octave or 1/3 octave bands), and is normally regarded as more annoying than 'broad band' noise.
- **Impulsiveness** - an impulsive noise is characterised by one or more short sharp peaks in the time domain, such as occurs during hammering.
- **Intermittency** - intermittent noise varies in level with the change in level being clearly audible. An example would include mechanical plant cycling on and off.
- **Low Frequency Noise** - low frequency noise contains significant energy in the lower frequency bands, which are typically taken to be in the 10 to 160 Hz region.

# APPENDIX B

## Operator Attended Noise Survey Charts

**Figure B1 – Day Period – ‘Atkins’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**



**Figure B2 – Evening Period – ‘Atkins’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**






Figure B3 – Night Period – ‘Atkins’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results







Figure B4 – Day Period – ‘Clarke’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results




**Figure B5 – Evening Period – ‘Clarke’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**



**Figure B6 – Night Period – ‘Clarke’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**



**Figure B7 – Day Period – ‘Hall’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**



**Figure B8 – Evening Period – ‘Hall’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**




Figure B9 – Night Period – ‘Hall’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results







Figure B10 – Day Period – ‘Lowrey’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results




**Figure B11 – Evening Period – ‘Lowrey’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**




**Figure B12 – Night Period – ‘Lowrey’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**




**Figure B14 – Day Period – ‘Pryce Jones’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**



**Figure B15 – Evening Period – ‘Pryce Jones’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**



**Figure B16 – Night Period – ‘Pryce Jones’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**



**Figure B17 – Day Period – ‘Van der Drift’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**




Figure B18 – Evening Period – ‘Van der Drift’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results






Figure B19 – Night Period – ‘Van der Drift’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results





**Figure B20 – Day Period – ‘Greenwood’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**



**Figure B21 – Evening Period – ‘Greenwood’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**




Figure B22 – Night Period – ‘Greenwood’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results






Figure B23 – Day Period – ‘Wadland’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results



**Figure B24 – Evening Period – ‘Wadland’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**



**Figure B25 – Night Period – ‘Wadland’ Operator Attended Noise Survey Results**




Figure B23 – RTNM 1 - Operator Attended Noise Survey Results





Figure B24 – RTNM 2 - Operator Attended Noise Survey Results



## ASIA PACIFIC OFFICES

### BRISBANE

Level 2, 15 Astor Terrace  
Spring Hill QLD 4000  
Australia  
T: +61 7 3858 4800  
F: +61 7 3858 4801

### CANBERRA

GPO 410  
Canberra ACT 2600  
Australia  
T: +61 2 6287 0800  
F: +61 2 9427 8200

### DARWIN

Unit 5, 21 Parap Road  
Parap NT 0820  
Australia  
T: +61 8 8998 0100  
F: +61 8 9370 0101

### GOLD COAST

Level 2, 194 Varsity Parade  
Varsity Lakes QLD 4227  
Australia  
M: +61 438 763 516

### MACKAY

21 River Street  
Mackay QLD 4740  
Australia  
T: +61 7 3181 3300

### MELBOURNE

Level 11, 176 Wellington Parade  
East Melbourne VIC 3002  
Australia  
T: +61 3 9249 9400  
F: +61 3 9249 9499

### NEWCASTLE

10 Kings Road  
New Lambton NSW 2305  
Australia  
T: +61 2 4037 3200  
F: +61 2 4037 3201

### PERTH

Ground Floor, 503 Murray Street  
Perth WA 6000  
Australia  
T: +61 8 9422 5900  
F: +61 8 9422 5901

### SYDNEY

Tenancy 202 Submarine School  
Sub Base Platypus  
120 High Street  
North Sydney NSW 2060  
Australia  
T: +61 2 9427 8100  
F: +61 2 9427 8200

### TOWNSVILLE

12 Cannan Street  
South Townsville QLD 4810  
Australia  
T: +61 7 4722 8000  
F: +61 7 4722 8001

### WOLLONGONG

Level 1, The Central Building  
UoW Innovation Campus  
North Wollongong NSW 2500  
Australia  
T: +61 2 4249 1000

### AUCKLAND

Level 4, 12 O'Connell Street  
Auckland 1010  
New Zealand  
T: 0800 757 695

### NELSON

6/A Cambridge Street  
Richmond, Nelson 7020  
New Zealand  
T: +64 274 898 628